

List of questions posed at the community meeting of WATSON LAKE Jan 21, 2026

LFN 2026 Constitution

1. A few typos to correct within the document
2. Some committee members were not notified of the committee meetings that were taking place
 - a. Apparently they still had quorum and proceeded
3. Some members want to be provided with a copy of the 1992 Simpson Lake agreement and the 1998 constitution
4. People had concerns regarding the number of “community” meetings that occurred before this
 - a. The Constitution committee responded that they had a few meetings
 - b. Some members suggested there should have been a meeting with the community every two months
5. People had concerns regarding the way the 2026 Constitution was distributed -> there was no engagement with the community through community meetings, or any other ways (no surveys, no questionnaire, etc.)
 - a. Some members suggested there should have been a meeting every 2 months
6. People were requesting a GA to appoint a committee – had issues with the way the current committee had been appointed
 - a. Suggested we couldn’t move forward with this without a consultation
 - b. They suggested that the Chief and Council couldn’t just select committee members
 - i. (however they weren’t selected – they voluntarily signed up)
 - c. Some members suggested that having had a GA first to select the committee and start the process would have protected the current constitution from further challenges
7. People had concerns the constitution committee was secretive.
8. Some members suggested this constitution should come from the grassroots people
9. The Chief talked about the “national” kaska GA – but some members mentioned this one was not important
10. Some members suggested having a newsletter would really help
11. Some members suggested that the vote on the constitution should hold off and that there should be a GA prior to the vote to discuss this
12. One youth member suggested that there should be two seats on the youth Council
13. People were wondering about the way the Chief and Council was getting the money for this and why they have said they did not have enough money to fund a GA

14. Members raised the difficulty of online voting for elders who do not have access to internet